

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Windell Norwood Hicks

Date of Original Judgment: February 11, 2010

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 7:09-CR-9-1FL

USM No: 70433-056

Pro Se

Defendant's Attorney

ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (*as reflected in the last judgment issued*) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____

While the guideline amendments apply to defendant, they do not have the effect of lowering his advisory guidelines range. Therefore, defendant is ineligible for a sentencing reduction pursuant to section 3582(c).

If the amount of time the defendant has already served exceeds this sentence, the sentence is reduced to a "Time Served" sentence, subject to an additional period of up to ten (10) days for administrative purposes of releasing the defendant.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment(s) dated February 11, 2010 shall remain in effect. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: 06/06/2014



Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Louise W. Flanagan, U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title